To: City of Newport Beach Planning Commission

From: Norman J. Suker P.E., T.E

Re: April 19, 2012 Public Hearing for the Newport Beach Banning Ranch Development

Dated: April 19, 2012

I object to the approval of the Newport Banning Ranch (NBR) project as proposed and request that all my comments be included in the records of any and all proceedings relating to the Newport Banning Ranch project or its successors.

I request that no action by the Newport Beach Planning Commission be taken at this time regarding the NBR project for the following reasons;

- A) The OCTA Board has removed the 19th Street Bridge across the Santa Ana River. The City of Newport Beach is contesting the Board's action and until this issue is reversed, the commission must accept the fact that the 19th Street Bridge has been removed from the OCMPAH.
- B) The City of Newport Beach General Plan Circulation Element Policy CE 3.1.3 **Regional Consistency** states "The City of Newport Beach Master Plan of Streets and Highways shall be consistent with the Orange County Master Plan of Arterial Highways". The City's Master Plan is now inconsistent with the OCMPAH.
- C) The NBR DEIR traffic section states that if the 19th Street Bridge is removed from the traffic analysis, that the intersection of the proposed Bluff Rd. and West Coast Highway will have an Level Of Service (LOS) of "F". No mitigation measures were proposed.
- D) Caltrans has not approved the construction of the Bluff Rd. and West Coast Highway intersection or a traffic signal at the intersection because the City of Newport Beach has not applied for the necessary encroachment permits. Caltrans has stated in correspondence to the City of Newport Beach dated December 9, 2009 regarding the Sunset Ridge Park that "The proposed signalized intersection (Bluff Rd. and West Coast Highway) is not recommended ...". See attached letter.
- E) Failure to remove the bridge from the City's Circulation Element will jeopardize Measure "M" funding.
- F) The California Coastal Commission has environmental issues with the proposed Bluff Rd. at West Coast Highway that needs to be resolved.

Norman J. Suker, P.E., T.E Newport Crest Resident STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, GOVERNO

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

District 12 3337 Michelson Drive, Suite 380 Irvine, CA 92612-8894

Tol: (949) 724-2267 Fax: (949) 724-2592

Post-it* Fax Note 7671	Date 12-10-9 peges /
TO SARET BROWN	From D. DAUS
CONDERT PLANNING	CALTRAIS
Phone # 9)644-323C	Phones 440-3487
Fax # 9) 644 - 3229	Fax (4) 7,24 - 2542



Flex your power! Ra energy efficient!

December 9, 2009

Janet Johnson Brown City of Newport Beach 3300 Newport Blvd. Newport Beach, CA 92685-8915 File: IGR/CEQA SCH#: 2009051036 Log #: 2285A

SR-1

Subject: Sunset Ridge Park

Dear Ms. Brown,

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the Sunset Ridge Park Project. The project proposes construction of a City park with active and passive recreational uses and an access road to the park through the contiguous private property to the west (Newport Banning Ranch, SCH #2009031061). No nighttime lighting, other than for public safety, is proposed. No nighttime park uses are proposed. The project would include the following uses and facilities: I baseball field; 2 soccer fields; playground/picnic area; memorial garden; overlook area with shade structure; pedestrian pathways and bike rack; restroom facilities; up to 119 parking spaces. A signal is proposed on West Coast Highway at the park access road. The City also proposes to widen a portion of the northern side of West Coast Highway from Superior Avenue to a point west of the park access road. The nearest State route to the project site is SR-1.

The California Department of Transportation (Department), District 12 is a responsible agency on this project and has the following comment:

- 1. Table 1-1, Threshold 4.3-3 in the Level of Significance After Mitigation column should read, "Less than significant impact with mitigation incorporation".
- 2. The proposed signalized intersection is not recommended based on the MUTCD, chapter 4, which reads, "a traffic control signal should not be installed if it shall seriously disrupt progressive traffic flow".

Please continue to keep us informed of this project and any future developments, which could potentially impact State transportation facilities. If you have any questions or need to contact us, please do not hesitate to call Damon Davis at (949) 440-3487.

Sincerely,

Maryani Molavi, Acting Branch Chief

Local Development/Intergovernmental Review